

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

08/930,735 09/19/2002 Angelika Semsch 0190-US/P 3405

7590 05/13/2003

Lerner & Greenberg EXAMINER

Lerner & Greenberg PO Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

CRANMER, LAURIE K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3636

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N .		Applicant(s)	
	_		08/930,735		SEMSCH ET AL.	8,
	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
			Laurie K. Cranm	er	3636	<i>,</i>
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)🖂	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u>	April 2003 .			
2a)□	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-	īnal.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed:						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsper nation Disclos	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 4. 6)		r (PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PTo	
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ffice A	ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 15	5

Application/Control Number: 08/930,735

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the position worn as intended (claim 1), the connecting section being designed and dimensioned such that it can be guided over and beyond the backrest of the seat and/or can be suspended around or over a headrest provided on the seat (claim 2), the head support element being designed such that the weight element can come to rest or hang behind the passenger (claim 3), the cushion-like elements having connecting devices which permit a releasable connection of the cushion like elements to the connecting section and/or to the weight element (claim 6); and the connecting section having a releasable connection to the head-support element and/or to the weight element (claim 8) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3636

In claim 1, lines 8-9 "the position worn as intended" has no definite antecedent basis.

In claim 7, line 2 'the connecting section" has no definite antecedent basis.

In claim 8, line "the connecting section" has no definite antecedent basis.

In claim 9, line 2 "the effective dimensions" and in line 3 "the connecting section" have no definite antecedent basis.

Claims 2-6 are indefinite in that they depend from an indefinite base claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5-9 so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monti et al.

The cushion-like elements 47, 49 have connecting devices (51, 53, 55, 57, 59). See Fig. 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 08/930,735

Art Unit: 3636

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 and 3, so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Monti as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Camelio.

Camelio teaches a connecting section 14, which can be guided over and beyond the backrest of the seat, and a weight element 18, which supports the weight of the cushion and comes to rest behind the passenger to be old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Monti device such that it had a connecting section and a weight element as taught to be old by Camelio thereby providing the obvious advantage of a secure connection between the head-support device and the seat.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach, inter alia, a weight element formed by sand which is filled into one or more chambers, as specifically claimed.

Application/Control Number: 08/930,735

Art Unit: 3636

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jensen, Kantor, Perron, Yin, Henkel, Chow, and Favell all teach devices similar to that of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie K. Cranmer whose telephone number is 703-308-2115. The examiner can normally be reached on T-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-2168. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

Laurie K. Cranmer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

LKC May 7, 2003